

40%

2010

20

[34].

[9, 69],

[20; 21; 24; 25; 27;
[1; 2; 3;

30; 36]
13; 16; 17].

[4, 2].

[20]:

[35],

(

) ; , ;
; , ; -
- ; [21, 47],
; , ,
, ;
, ; ,
, ; ,
24% (,
, ,
, 26% (. 2 , "
, ");
(2005 .
18%).
, " , "
3% [9, 69].
[9; 12; 16; 20] , ;
:
1 - , ,
, ; [4, 3];
, , ()

1
,
2011 .,
(47,8%)

[36].

[25, 108];

() — ,
(" — ")

" — "

[16].

()

1.
" [13, 7].

[21, 41-42].

1 2011 .

1

[20].

;

,

,

.

,

,

,

;

(

,

,

[15, 292].

);

,

;

;

292-298]:

[15,

;

(

[4, 6-7].

,

,

);

(Semi-Autonomous Revenue Authority – SARA).

(

,

(

,

);

(

,

,

),

,

(

,

) –

30

SARAs,

(

,

,

;

,

), 1)

; 2)

;

(

,

); 3)

SARA,

,

;

[11, I].

SARAs,

;

(

);

,

,

,

;

;

;

-

[10, 74]. ,

("Doing Business"),

[6; 7],

SARA

(Paying Taxes),

PricewaterhouseCoopers [14].

"Doing Business 2012" [7], (152

183)

[10, (180)

175] , (181) .

[11, 4]. (149),

SARA (183),

[8]. (174) [14], . .

SARAs "... ,

2010 .

" [11, 58]. :

-

-

[31];

-

-

„ [18, 10]. ; ; (; [32, 50];), (; „... [23]. „ [18, 9]. (13.10.2011 .) 60,8% - , 57 – 21,6 ; : " [26]. " [28]. [22]. [29]. " () ; ; . ; ,

152

60
434
140
[9].
[15, 288].
2010 21
[5, 10].
(4),
(3),
(2),
(1) [33].
2010 12 [15, 285]. C
98
45
C
"

[5]

[34],

()

"

"

"

"

"1

"

"

"

[21].

"Doing Business 2012" [7],

SARA,

1

"

"

(),

(),
 ().
 ,
 ,
 .
 ()
)
 ,
 ,
) ,
 -
 .
 -
 .
 ,
 ,
 (. . ,
 ,
) , ,
 ,
 .

1. Aidt S. Toke. Economic analysis of corruption: A survey / Toke S. Aidt // The Economic Journal. – 2003. – 113. – P. 632-652.

2. Besley T., McLaren J. Taxes and Bribery: The Role of Wage Incentives / Timothy Besley, John McLaren // The Economic Journal. – 1993. – Vol. 103. – 416. – P. 119-141.

3. Chander P., Wilde L.. Corruption in tax administration / Parkash Chander, Louis Wilde // Journal of Public Economics. – 1992. – Vol. 49. – P. 333-349.

4. Corruption in tax administration [] // 4U: Anti-Corruption Resource Centre, 2010. – : <http://www.u4.no/helpdesk/helpdesk/query.cfm?id=229>.

5. Corruption Perception Index 2010. – Transparency International, 2010. – 19 p.

6. Doing Business 2011: Making a Difference for Entrepreneurs. – The World Bank and the International Finance Corporation, 2010. – 255 p.

7. Doing Business 2012: Doing Business in a More Transparent World. – The World Bank and the International Finance Corporation, 2011. – 200 p.

8. Fjeldstad O.-H. Corruption in tax administration: Lessons from institutional reforms in Uganda. – CMI Working Papers. – 2005. – 10. – 23 p.

9. Istanbul Anti-Corruption Plan: Monitoring Report. Ukraine // OECD Anti-Corruption Network for Eastern Europe and Central Asia. – 2010. – 71 p.

10. Manasan R. Tax Administration Reform: Semi-Autonomous Revenue Authority, Anyone? // Philippine Journal of Development. – 2003. – Vol. XXX. – 2. – P. 173-193.

11. Mann A. Are semi-autonomous revenue authorities the answer to tax administration problems in developing countries? A practical guide. – Research paper for the project: Fiscal Reform in Support of Trade Liberalization, 2004. – 106 p.

12. National Integrity System: Ukraine 2011. – TORO Creative Union, 2011. – 204 p.

13. Neutze J. Corruption, Democracy, and Investment in Ukraine / J. Neutze, A. Karatnycky // The Atlantic Council of the United States. – []: Atlantic Council, 2007. – :

http://acus.org/docs/071016_Corruption,%20Democracy,%20and%20Investment%20in%20Ukraine.pdf.

14. Paying Taxes 2011: The global picture. – The World Bank and the International Finance Corporation, PricewaterhouseCoopers, 2011. – 100 p.

15. Performance accountability and combating corruption / edited by Anwar Shah. – Washington: The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, The World Bank, 2007. – 418 .

16. Polese A. "If I receive it, it is a gift; if I demand it, then it is a bribe": On the Local Meaning of Economic Transactions in Post-

Soviet Ukraine // Anthropology in Action. – 2008. – Vol. 15. – 3. – P. 47-60.

17. Rose-Ackerman S. The economics of corruption / S. Rose-Ackerman // Journal of Public Economics. – 1975. – Vol. 4. – P. 187-203.

18. Ukraine: First Review Under the Stand-By Arrangement – Staff Report; Staff Statement; Press Release on the Executive Board Discussion. – IMF Country Report 1/52. – February 2011. – 67 .

19. []:
 , 2011. – :
<http://uagr.com/novosti/biznes-novosti-ukrainy/avtomatizaciya-vozmeshheniya-nds-zavershitsya-k-koncu-goda/>

20. . – , 2006. – 107 .
[]:
" Vuzlib.net", 2011. – :
http://www.pravo.vuzlib.net/book_z2164.html.

21. / . . //
2011. – . 3. – 3. – . 40-56.

22. 13% []:
" , 2011. – : <http://www.interfax.com.ua/rus/eco/66477/>

23. []:
 , 2011. – :
<http://www.dpa.dp.ua/section.phtml?l1=2&l2=1&l3=15669>.

24. / . .
 , . . . – . :
" , 1999. – 31 .

25. // . . . – 2004. – 2.
– . 96-108.

26. []:
" , 2011. – :
<http://ianp.com.ua/ru/news/mind/read/11652>.

27. . . /
 . . . //
-2007. – . 13.
 . – ., 2007. – . 42-44.

28. 60,8%. / .
[]:
 , 2011.
– : http://www.sta.gov.ua/control/uk/publish/article?art_id=338095&cat_id=90622_

29. ? / . []:
" , 2011. – :
<http://www.day.kiev.ua/290619?idsource=209325&mainlang=rus>.

30. / . . -
 , . . //
 . – 1998. – . 34. –
 . 3. – . 40-61.

31. []:
" , 2011.
: http://aprapda.com/node/166_

32. / . .
 :
 //
 . – 2011. – 5. – . 46-51.

33. []:
 . . .

]:
- , 2011. -
:
http://www.odpa.if.ua/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=431:2010-07-09-06-28-30&catid=78:2010-04-12-12-28-29&Itemid=125.

34.

[
]: "NEWSru.ua",
2011. - :
<http://rus.newsru.ua/ukraine/08jun2011/yanookoveech.html>.

35.

2010 []:
"

", 2011. - : <http://rkm.kiev.ua/obschestvo/30318/>
36. C

" : . / . . C -
/ , -
- . - , 2002. - 84 .

37.

[]:
" - ", 2011. -
://
<http://www.interfax.com.ua/rus/main/75672/>.

15.09.2011 .